

REMARKS


Claim 1 has been amended to make it even clearer. It is respectfully submitted that there is no way that it can reasonably be said that the cited references teach such a method.

With respect to the argument related to “adapted to”, it is respectfully suggested that the MPEP is explicit about the fact that the “adapted to” language is entitled to structural weight. *See* MPEP § 2173.05(g). There the cases cited by the applicant in previous responses are pointed out and it is specifically noted that the use of “adapted to” results in a structural limitation, citing the *Venezia* case in the second column of the section at the end. Clearly this section trumps the cited sections which do not relate to the “adapted to” language.

Therefore reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 11, 2006



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation